	Case 2:21-cv-00378-DAD-JDP Docume	ent 9 Filed 09/15/22 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	KEVIN LEE McCULLOM,	Case No. 2:21-cv-00378-JDP (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS THAT THIS ACTION BE DISMISSED FOR FAILURE TO PROSECUTE, FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH COURT ORDERS, AND FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM
13	v.	
14	PATRICK WITHROW, et al.,	
15	Defendant.	OBJECTIONS DUE WITHIN FOURTEEN DAYS
16		
17	On July 12, 2022, I screened plaintiff's complaint, notified him that it failed to state a	
18	claim, and gave him thirty days to file an amended complaint. ECF No. 7. I also warned him that	
19	failure to comply with the July 12 order could result in dismissal of this action. <i>Id.</i> at 3. Plaintiff	
20	did not file an amended complaint. Accordingly, on August 22, 2022, I ordered him to show	
21	cause within twenty-one days why this action should not be dismissed. ECF No. 8. I notified	
22	him that if he wished to continue with this action he must file, within twenty-one days, an	
23	amended complaint. I also warned him that failure to comply with the order would result in a	
24	recommendation that this action be dismissed. <i>Id</i> .	
25	The deadline has passed, and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise	
26	responded to the August 22, 2022 order. Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that that the Clerk	
2728	Although it appears from the docket that plaintiff's copy of the July 12, 2022 order and the August 22, 2022 order were returned, plaintiff was properly served. It is plaintiff's	

of Court shall randomly assign a United States District Judge to this case. Further, it is hereby RECOMMENDED that: 1. This action be dismissed for failure to prosecute, failure to comply with court orders, and failure to state a claim, for the reasons set forth in the July 12, 2022 order. 2. The Clerk of Court be directed to close the case. I submit these findings and recommendations to the district judge under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Rule 304 of the Local Rules of Practice for the United States District Court, Eastern District of California. The parties may, within 14 days of the service of the findings and recommendations, file written objections to the findings and recommendations with the court. Such objections should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." The district judge will review the findings and recommendations under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: September 14, 2022 JERÉMY D. PETERSON UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE responsibility to keep the court apprised of his current address. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service of documents at the record address of the party is fully effective.

Case 2:21-cv-00378-DAD-JDP Document 9 Filed 09/15/22 Page 2 of 2